





Notification template for Article 131 CRD – Other Systemically Important Institutions (O-SII)

Please send this template to

- notifications@esrb.europa.eu when notifying the ESRB;
- <u>macropru.notifications@ecb.europa.eu</u> when notifying the ECB;
- notifications@eba.europa.eu when notifying the EBA.

Emailing this template to the above-mentioned addresses constitutes an official notification, no further official letter is required. In order to facilitate the work of the notified authorities, please send the notification template in a format that allows electronically copying the information.

1. Notifying nati	onal authority	
1.1 Name of the notifying authority	Central Bank of Malta (CBM) and Malta Financia	al Services Authority (MFSA)
2. Description o	f the measure	
2.1 Categorisation of measures	Other systemically important institutions (O-SII) Article 131 of the CRD IV.	identification and buffer setting as per
2.2 Concerned institution or group of institutions	The measure applies to the following institutions at the highest level of consolidation in Malta: • Bank of Valletta Group LEI Code: 529900RWC8ZYB066JF16 • HSBC Bank Malta Plc LEI Code: 549300X34UUBDEUL1Z91 • MDB Group Ltd. LEI Code: 213800TC9PZRBHMJW403 • APS Bank plc. LEI Code: 213800A1O379I6DMCU10	
2.3 Level of the buffer applied	Institution Bank of Valletta Group (BOV) HSBC Bank Malta plc (HSBC) MDB Group Ltd. (MED) APS Bank plc. (APS) The additional capital requirement is calculated exposure amount and must be covered by Core Since 1 Jan 2019, previously designated MT Obeen holding O-SII buffer rates on a fully-loaded	Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1 capital). SIIs (i.e. BOV, HSBC and MED) have

2.4 Name of the EU	HSBC Bank Malta plc: HSBC Holdings, LEI Code: MLU0ZO3ML4LN2LL2TL39
ultimate parent	MDB Group Ltd: AnaCap Financial Partners LLP, LEI code: 254900TAGFZB4HS1LG48
institution	Bank of Valletta Group and APS Bank plc are ultimate parent institutions.
	MDB Group Ltd. is the holding company of:
	1) MeDirect Bank (Malta) plc LEI code: 529900SYUCFQHI3JZQ05
2.5 Names of subsidiaries	2) MeDirect Bank SA (BE) LEI code: 529900MATKY89NT0U738
Subsidiaries	
	The other O-SIIs do not own banking subsidiaries.
3. Timing of the	measure
3.1 Timing of the	The CBM and the MFSA - the Authorities - as represented in the Joint Financial
Decision Decision	Stability Board (JFSB), and expect to reach their final decision on 20 December 2019, after duly noting the opinion of the ECB.
	and day nothing the opinion of the Leb.
3.2 Timing of the	The O-SII decision is expected to be published early 2020.
Publication	
	The same communication strategy followed in the 2018 identification process will be
3.3 Disclosure	followed during 2019 i.e. informing the respective banks of their O-SII status and applicable buffer rate through a private letter, and informing the public through the CBM
	and MFSA's website.
3.4 Timing of	The activation data of the huffens is 4 January 2000
Application	The activation date of the buffers is 1 January 2020.
	The O-SII buffer in Malta has been implemented through a four-year phase-in period,
	i.e. until 1 January 2019. Accordingly, as at 1 Jan 2019, the O-SII buffer has been fully-
3.5 Phasing in	phased in.
	For newly identified O-SIIs (i.e. APS Bank plc.), the Authorities are granting a transitory
	period until 1 January 2023 for the build-up of the O-SII buffer.
3.6 Review of the	The O-SII buffer will be reviewed annually.
measure	

4. Reason for O-SII identification and activation of the O-SII buffer

4.1 Scores of concerned institution or group of institutions, as per EBA guidelines on the assessment of O-SIIs (Article 131.3)

In line with the Authorties' revised O-SII methodology, four credit institutions (i.e. Bank of Valletta Group, HSBC Bank Malta Plc, MeDirect Group Ltd and APS Bank plc) were identified as O-SIIs having surpassed the 425 bps threshold, which the Authorities have set as a cut-off point (the Authorities applied +/- 75 bps leeway to the 350bps threshold as established in the EBA guidelines). The resulting scores are highlighted in the table below:

<u>Institution</u>	Scores (in bps)
Bank of Valletta Group (BOV)	2,739
HSBC Bank Malta plc (HSBC)	1,362
MDB Group Ltd. (MED)	662
APS Bank plc. (APS)	472

As highlighted in MT's 2018 O-SII notification template, the CBM and the MFSA reassessed their methodology for the O-SII identification process. To this end, the Authorities revised their O-SII methodology to bring it more in line with the criteria established in the EBA Guidelines for identifying O-SIIs.

In line with the revised methodology, domestic systemically important institutions are identified as such, based on their relative importance within the sector as per a set of specific criteria. The proposed categories and indicators are based on those put forward in the EBA Guidelines; however, additional indicators have been included to account for specificities of the domestic financial sector. These additional indicators, whose main aim is to take into account particular characteristics of the Maltese financial sector and the strong orientation of banks towards domestic deposits and loans, have been incorporated in the 'importance' category.

4.2 Methodology and indicators used for designation of the O-SII (Article 131.3)

The Maltese banking sector is characterised by a small number of market participants, dominated by a few 'systemically relevant' institutions which are more integrated with, and interlinked to the domestic economy when compared to the rest of the banks within the sector. These 'systemically relevant' banks operate under a 'traditional' retail banking business model. In view of this characteristic, the methodology outlined in the EBA Guidelines has been modified to reflect this peculiarity and has been designed in such a way as to identify as O-SIIs the aforementioned 'systemically relevant' institutions.

The homogenous weight of 25% for all categories stipulated in EBA methodology does not reflect adequately the domestic financial system's characteristics. Consequently, as highlighted in the table below, a relatively higher weight is attributed to the 'importance' and the 'size' categories, with a total weight of 40% and 22% respectively. The Authorities deem these categories as being the most reflective of the specificities of the domestic banking sector's business model, thereby representing the potential channels of systemic risk for the institutions domiciled in Malta. In line with this, given the rather traditional business models of domestic banks, the 'complexity' and 'interconnectedness' categories have been assigned a lower weight of 18% and 20% respectively.

	Category	Indicators	Indicator weight	Category weights
	Size	Total Assets	22.00%	22.00%
		Value of domestic payment transactions	8.00%	
		Private sector deposits from depositors in the EU*	5.50%	
	Importance	Private sector loans to recipients in the EU**	5.50%	40.00%
		Private sector deposits from Maltese residents	10.50%	
		Private sector loans to Maltese residents	10.50%	
		Value of OTC derivatives (notional)	4.00%	
	Complexity	Cross-jurisdictional liabilities	7.00%	18.00%
		Cross-jurisdictional claims	7.00%	
		Intra-financial system liabilities	9.00%	
	Interconnectedness	Intra-financial system assets	9.00%	20.00%
		Debt securities outstanding	2.00%	
	* MT deposits are in ** MT loans are inco	ncorporated in 'private sector deposits from d rporated in 'private sector loans to recipients	epositors in the E in the EU' indica	EU' indicator. tor.
-	at 425 bps (in lin	ner indicators, the Authorities decided to the with the leeway established in the laltese financial sector and high concent participants	EBA guidelines	threshold p s) to reflect
	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market	e with the leeway established in the latese financial sector and high concen	set the cut-off EBA guidelines tration levels of alibration stage	threshold ps) to reflect lue to the s
4.3 Supervisory udgement	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can below, depending	the with the leeway established in the latese financial sector and high concent participants. Odlogy was employed as part of the control the O-SII identification stage in section the Classified into one of any of the five by on the O-SII score obtained with this the lowest capital rate (0.25%) and	alibration stage 4.2:	threshold ps) to reflect due to the side to the side based on atted in the tan methodological sides.
-	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can below, depending Bucket 1 contains capital buffer rate 2. Intermediate 1 reinforcing a proper	ne with the leeway established in the latese financial sector and high concentration participants. Odlogy was employed as part of the control the O-SII identification stage in section are classified into one of any of the five by on the O-SII score obtained with the stage in the lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). Outfler rates of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.50 cortionate and commensurate applicational systemic risk posed by the respective	alibration stage 4.2: buckets preserve identification bucket 5 entage 26 are also a con of an O-SII	threshold ps) to reflect due to the see based on the tan methodologist the high applicable, the surcharge;
udgement	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can be below, depending Bucket 1 contains capital buffer rate 2. Intermediate is reinforcing a prophigher the potential buffer rate applied 3. The overall scores	ne with the leeway established in the latese financial sector and high concentration participants. Odlogy was employed as part of the control the O-SII identification stage in section are classified into one of any of the five by on the O-SII score obtained with the stage in the lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). Outfler rates of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.50 cortionate and commensurate applicational systemic risk posed by the respective	alibration stage 4.2: buckets preserve identification bucket 5 entage and of an O-SII, the hiddology (refer to	threshold ps) to reflect due to the see based on the tan methodologist the high applicable, the surcharge; gher the cap
.4 Calibrating the	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can below, depending Bucket 1 contains capital buffer rate 2. Intermediate is reinforcing a prophigher the potenti buffer rate applied 3. The overall scoused to indicate the	ne with the leeway established in the latese financial sector and high concentration participants. odlogy was employed as part of the control of the O-SII identification stage in section are classified into one of any of the five the control of the O-SII score obtained with the stage in the lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). outfler rates of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.50 ortionate and commensurate applicational systemic risk posed by the respectively.	alibration stage 4.2: buckets preserve identification bucket 5 entage and 6 an O-SII, the hiddlogy (refer to le below:	threshold ps) to reflect due to the size based on the tan methodologils the high applicable, the surcharge; gher the cap of section 4.2
.4 Calibrating the	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can below, depending Bucket 1 contains capital buffer rate 2. Intermediate is reinforcing a prophigher the potenti buffer rate applied 3. The overall scoused to indicate the	ne with the leeway established in the latese financial sector and high concentration participants. In odlogy was employed as part of the control of the O-SII identification stage in section of the O-SII identification stage in section of the O-SII score obtained with the stage of the lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). In outfler rates of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.50 contionate and commensurate applicational systemic risk posed by the respectively. In or obtained in the identification method are resulting capital buffer rate as per tables.	alibration stage 4.2: buckets preserve identification bucket 5 entage and 6 an O-SII, the hiddlogy (refer to le below:	threshold ps) to reflect due to the size based on the tan methodologils the high applicable, the surcharge; gher the cap of section 4.2
.4 Calibrating the	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can be below, depending Bucket 1 contains capital buffer rate 2. Intermediate I reinforcing a prophigher the potenti buffer rate applied 3. The overall scoused to indicate the Buckets C	the with the leeway established in the lattese financial sector and high concentration participants. In odlogy was employed as part of the control of the O-SII identification stage in section are classified into one of any of the five by on the O-SII score obtained with the state lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). In our control of the control of the control of the five by on the O-SII score obtained with the state lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). In our control of the control of	alibration stage 4.2: buckets preserve identification bucket 5 entage 6.5 are also a con of an O-SII, the hiddology (refer to be below: beach bucket (bp is Score one < 1700	threshold ps) to reflect due to the size based on the tan methodologils the high applicable, the surcharge; gher the cap of section 4.2
.4 Calibrating the	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can below, depending Bucket 1 contains capital buffer rate 2. Intermediate 1 reinforcing a prophigher the potenti buffer rate applied 3. The overall scoused to indicate the Buckets C 5 4 4 3	the with the leeway established in the lattese financial sector and high concentration participants. In odlogy was employed as part of the control of the O-SII identification stage in section at the O-SII identification stage in section at the O-SII score obtained with the stage of the lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). In outfler rates of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.50 outfload and commensurate applicational systemic risk posed by the respectively. In ore obtained in the identification method are resulting capital buffer rate as per table at the capital Buffer Rate and the capital Buf	alibration stage 4.2: buckets preserve identification bucket 5 entage bucket 5 entage 6.5 and	threshold ps) to reflect due to the size based on the tan methodologils the high applicable, the surcharge; gher the cap of section 4.2
udgement	at 425 bps (in lin relatively small Manumber of market A bucketing meth scores achieved in 1. An O-SII can be below, depending Bucket 1 contains capital buffer rate 2. Intermediate 1 reinforcing a prophigher the potenti buffer rate applied 3. The overall scoused to indicate the Buckets 5 4	the with the leeway established in the lattese financial sector and high concentration participants. In odlogy was employed as part of the control the O-SII identification stage in section to the O-SII identification stage in section to the O-SII score obtained with the state lowest capital rate (0.25%) and (2.0%). In outfler rates of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.50 portionate and commensurate applicational systemic risk posed by the respectively. In ore obtained in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in the identification method the resulting capital buffer rate as per table application in	alibration stage 4.2: buckets preserve identification bucket 5 entage 6.5 are also a con of an O-SII, the hiddology (refer to be below: beach bucket (bp is Score one < 1700	threshold ps) to reflect due to the size based on the tan methodologils the high applicable, the surcharge; gher the cap of section 4.2

The O-SII buffer is an essential element of the ESRB Recommendation on the intermediate objectives and instruments of macro-prudential policy¹, and is a macro-prudential tool legally embedded in the CRD/CRR framework which, in turn, is domestically transposed in CBM Directive No. 11² and MFSA Banking Rule No. 15.³

The O-SII buffer consists of a capital surcharge applied to institutions that may, in the event of failure, have considerable impact on the financial system and the real economy. This additional capital buffer is applied to domestic systemically important institutions to enhance their resilience by increasing their loss absorbing capacity and thereby ensuring that they pose minimal risk to the domestic economy in the form of externalities. Market failures targeted by the O-SII buffer mainly relate to excessive risk-taking due to expectations of a bailout (moral hazard) given the perceived systemic relevance by individual institutions ('too big to fail'). In this respect, the O-SII buffer is a macro-prudential instrument that contributes to financial stability by mitigating the structural element of systemic risk stemming from moral hazard, thereby promoting market discipline.

4.5 Effectiveness and proportionality of measure

The domestic banking system is composed of a number of banks which are classified into three categories i.e. (a) core domestic banks; (b) non-core domestic banks and (c) international banks.

The core domestic banks' category consists of a set of banks that exhibit strong links with the domestic economy, and are thus systemically relevant. These banks operate a widespread branch network, provide a full spectrum of banking services and are core providers of credit and deposit takers in Malta. With total assets of €24.5 billion, the size of core domestic banks was equivalent to approximately 192% of GDP by June 2019. The four banking groups identified as O-SIIs fall in this category, three of which are identified as significant for SSM purposes.

The non-core domestic banks, while still having links with the domestic economy, play a more restricted role in the economy, as the volume of operations and banking services they offer to residents are somewhat limited. As such, the linkages with the domestic economy are limited, restricted to deposit-taking and domestic credit intermediation to a much smaller extent. In turn, internationally-oriented banks are mainly subsidiaries and branches of international institutions and therefore have virtually no links with the domestic economy. Together the non-core and international banks, by June 2019, make around 142% of domestic GDP.

Collectively, the four domestic banking groups classified as O-SIIs account for around 93% of the total assets of the core domestic banks and around 53% of the total banking system assets.

¹ Recommendation of the European Systemic Risk Board of 4 April 2013 on intermediate objectives and instruments of macro-prudential policy (ESRB/2013/1).

² Directive No. 11 – Macro-prudential Policy.

³ BR/15/2015 Capital Buffers of Credit Institutions Authorised under the Banking Act 1994.

5. Cross-border	and cross-sector impact of the measure
5.1 Assessment of cross-border effects and the likely impact on the internal market (Recommendation ESRB/2015/2)	From the internal market perspective, given the relatively small size of the domestic financial sector vis-à-vis its European counterparts, no impact is expected to materialise as a result of the domestic O-SII buffer.
5.2 Assessment of leakages and regulatory arbitrage within the notifying Member State	The buffers are set at the highest level of consolidation in Malta. This avoids the possibility that institutions shift their business activities within the group, whether domestically or elsewhere.
6. Combinations	and interactions with other measures
6.1 Combinations between G-SII and OSII buffers (Article 131.14)	N/A
6.2 Combinations with SRB buffers	
(Article 131.14 + Article 133.5)	N/A
6.3 O-SII requirement for a subsidiary (Article 131.8)	N/A
6.4 Interaction with other measures	No interaction with other measures.
7. Miscellaneous	S
7.1 Contact person(s) at notifying authority	Contact person(s) for further inquiries (name, phone number and e-mail address): Mr. Stephen Attard Head Financial Stability Policy, Crisis Management and Stress Testing Department Central Bank of Malta E-mail: attards@centralbankmalta.org Mr Mirko Mallia Deputy Head Financial Stability Malta Financial Services Authority E-mail: MMallia@mfsa.com.mt

relevant N/A information